

Royce de R. Barondes

Bibliography—Law Review Publications in Print and Citations (Excluding Self-Citations)

1. Royce de R. Barondes, Charles Nyce and Gary C. Sanger, *Underwriters' Counsel as Gatekeeper or Turnstile: An Empirical Analysis of Law Firm Prestige and Performance in IPOs*, 2 *Capital Markets Law Journal* 164 (2007)
2. Royce de R. Barondes, *Fiduciary Duties in Distressed Corporations: Second-Generation Issues*, 1 *Journal of Business and Technology Law* 371 (2007)
 - a. Cited in:
 - i. Jonathan C. Lipson, *The Expressive Function of Directors' Duties to Creditors*, 12 *Stanford Journal of Law, Business & Finance* 224 (2007)
3. Royce de R. Barondes, *Correcting the Empirical Foundations of IPO-Pricing Regulation*, 33 *Florida State University Law Review* 437-469 (2005)
4. Royce de R. Barondes and V. Carlos Slawson, Jr., *Examining Compliance with Fiduciary Duties: A Study of Real Estate Agents*, 84 *Oregon Law Review* 681-724 (2005)
 - a. Cited in:
 - i. Murray S. Levin, *Digest of Selected Articles*, 35 *Real Estate Law Journal* 646 (2007)
 - ii. *Keeping Current*, *Probate & Property*, American Bar Association, July/August 2006
5. Royce de R. Barondes, *NASD Regulation of IPO Conflicts of Interest—Does Gatekeeping Work?*, 79 *Tulane Law Review* 859-901 (2005)
 - a. Cited in:
 - i. Arthur B. Laby, *Differentiating Gatekeepers*, 1 *Brooklyn Journal of Corporate, Financial & Commercial Law* 119 (2006)
6. Royce de R. Barondes and Thomas A. Lambert, *Should Antitrust Education Be Mandatory (for Law School Administrators)?*, 38 *U.C. Davis Law Review* 1299-1316 (2005)
7. Royce de R. Barondes, *An Alternative Paradigm for Valuing Breach of Registration Rights and Loss of Liquidity*, 39 *University of Richmond Law Review* 627-707 (2005)
 - a. Cited in:
 - i. Robert C. Illig, *Minority Investor Protections as Default Norms: Using Price to Illuminate the Deal in Close Corporations*, 56 *American University Law Review* 275 (2006)
 - ii. Elizabeth Cosenza, *Co-invest at Your Own Risk: An Exploration of Potential Remedial Theories for Breaches of Rights of First Refusal in the Venture Capital Context*, 55 *American University Law Review* 87 (2005)
8. Royce de R. Barondes, *Rejecting the Marie Antoinette Paradigm of Prejudgment Interest*, 43 *Brandeis Law Journal* 1-27 (2004)
9. Royce de R. Barondes, *Professionalism Consequences of Law Firm Investments in Clients: An Empirical Assessment*, 39 *American Business Law Journal* 379-444 (2002)
 - a. Cited in:
 - i. S.M. Solaiman, *Investor Protection and Civil Liabilities for Defective Prospectuses: Bangladeshi Laws Compared with Their Equivalents in India and Malaysia*, 25 *Journal of Law and Commerce* 509 (2006)
 - ii. Larry D. Barnett, *Social Productivity, Law, and the Regulation of Conflicts of Interest in the Investment Industry*, 3 *Cardozo Public Law, Policy and Ethics Journal* 793 (2006)
 - iii. Sung Hui Kim, *The Banality of Fraud: Re-situating the Inside Counsel as Gatekeeper*, 74 *Fordham Law Review* 983 (2005)
 - iv. Anthony J. Luppino, *Multidisciplinary Business Planning Firms: Expanding the Regulatory Tent Without Creating a Circus*, 35 *Seton Hall Law Review* 109 (2004)
 - v. John C. Coffee, Jr., *Gatekeeper Failure and Reform: The Challenge of Fashioning Relevant Reforms*, 84 *Boston University Law Review* 301 (2004)
 - vi. John C. Coffee, Jr., *The Attorney as Gatekeeper: An Agenda for the SEC*, 103 *Columbia*

- Law Review 1293 (2003)
- vii. Robert Prentice, *Enron: A Brief Behavioral Autopsy*, 40 *American Business Law Journal* 417 (2003)
 - viii. John S. Dzienkowski & Robert J. Peroni, *The Decline in Lawyer Independence: Lawyer Equity Investments in Clients*, 81 *Texas Law Review* 405 (2002)
10. Royce de R. Barondes, *Reorganizations and Stochastic Collateral Value*, 11 *Southern California Interdisciplinary Law Journal* 193–218 (2002)
 11. Royce de R. Barondes, *The Business Lawyer as Terrorist Transaction Cost Engineer*, 69 *Fordham Law Review* 31–82 (2000)
 - a. Cited in:
 - i. George Schumann, *Beyond Litigation: Legal Education Reform in Japan and What Japan's New Lawyers Will Do*, 13 *University of Miami International and Comparative Law Review* 475 (2006)
 - ii. Paul Steinberg & Gerald Lescatre, *Beguiling Heresy: Regulating the Franchise Relationship*, 109 *Penn State Law Review* 105 (2004)
 - iii. Scott R. Peppet, *Contract Formation in Imperfect Markets: Should We Use Mediators in Deals?*, 38 *Ohio State Journal on Dispute Resolution* 283 (2004)
 - iv. Stephen M. Bainbridge, *The Tournament at the Intersection of Business and Legal Ethics*, 1 *University of Saint Thomas Law Journal* 909 (2004)
 - v. Robert J. Condlin, "What's Love Got To Do With It?"— "It's Not Like They're Your Friends for Christ's Sake": *The Complicated Relationship Between Lawyer and Client*, 82 *Nebraska Law Review* 211 (2003)
 - vi. George Dent, *Lawyers and Trust in Business Alliances*, 58 *Business Lawyer* 45 (2002)
 12. Royce de R. Barondes, *Adequacy of Disclosure of Restrictions on Flipping IPO Securities*, 74 *Tulane Law Review* 883–950 (2000)
 - a. Abstracted in Bowne & Co., *Digest for Corporate & Securities Lawyers* (Oct. 2000)
 - b. Cited in:
 - i. Thomas Lee Hazen, *Law of Securities Regulation* (4th ed 2002)
 - ii. Louis Loss & Joel Seligman, *Securities Regulation* (3d ed. 2001)
 - iii. Stefan J. Padfield, *Who Should Do the Math? Materiality Issues in Disclosures that Require Investors to Calculate the Bottom Line*, 34 *Pepperdine Law Review* 927 (2007)
 - iv. Michael R. Siebecker, *Corporate Speech, Securities Regulation, and an Institutional Approach to the First Amendment*, 48 *William & Mary Law Review* 613 (2006)
 - v. Noam Sher, *Negligence Versus Strict Liability: The Case of Underwriter Liability in IPO's*, 4 *DePaul Business & Commercial Law Journal* (2006)
 - vi. Christine Hurt, *What Google Can't Tell Us About Internet Auctions (and What it Can)*, 37 *University of Toledo Law Review* 403 (2006)
 - vii. Christine Hurt, *Moral Hazard and the Initial Public Offering*, 26 *Cardozo Law Review* 711 (2005)
 - viii. Scott A. Moss, *Where There's At-will, There Are Many Ways: Redressing the Increasing Incoherence of Employment at Will*, 67 *University of Pittsburgh Law Review* 295 (2005) (citation to pre-publication working paper)
 - ix. Sean J. Griffith, *A Legal and Economic Analysis of the Preferential Allocation of Shares in Initial Public Offerings*, 69 *Brooklyn Law Review* 583 (2004)
 - x. Ely R. Levy, *The Law and Economics of IPO Favoritism and Regulatory Spin*, 33 *Southwestern University Law Review* 185 (2004)
 - xi. Joan MacLeod Heminway, *Materiality Guidance in the Context of Insider Trading: A Call for Action*, 52 *American University Law Review* 1131 (2003)
 - xii. Therese H. Maynard, *Is the Moral Dimension of Fiduciary Duty Law Relevant to Teaching Law and Practice*, 76 *Tulane Law Review* 1501 (2002)

- xiii. Therese H. Maynard, *Spinning in a Hot IPO—Breach of Fiduciary Duty or Business as Usual*, 43 William & Mary Law Review 2023 (2002)
 - xiv. Barry E. Adler & Ian Ayres, *Dilution Mechanism for Valuing Corporations in Bankruptcy*, 111 Yale Law Journal 83 (2001)
 - xv. Nancy C. Libin & James S. Wrona, *The Securities Industry and the Internet: A Suitable Match?*, 2001 Columbia Business Law Review 601
 - xvi. Lucas C. Townsend, Comment, *Can Wall Street’s “Global Resolution” Prevent Spinning? A Critical Evaluation of Current Alternatives*, 34 Seton Hall Law Review 1121 (2004)
13. Royce de R. Barondes, *Fiduciary Duties of Officers and Directors of Distressed Corporations*, 7 George Mason Law Review 45–104 (1998)
- a. Abstracted in Bowne & Co., Digest for Corporate & Securities Lawyers 6 (Feb. 1999)
 - b. Cited in:
 - i. Floyd v. Hefner, 2006 WL 2844245 (S.D. Tex. Sept. 29, 2006).
 - ii. Production Resources Group, L.L.C. v. NCT Group, Inc., 2004 Del. Ch. Lexis 174 (Nov. 17, 2004)
 - iii. Jonathan C. Lipson, *The Expressive Function of Directors’ Duties to Creditors*, 12 Stanford Journal of Law, Business & Finance 224 (2007)
 - iv. Remus D. Valsan & Moin A. Yahya, *Shareholders, Creditors, and Directors’ Fiduciary Duties: a Law and Finance Approach*, 2 Virginia Law & Business Review 1 (2007)
 - v. Rutheford B. Campbell, Jr. & Christopher W. Frost, *Managers’ Fiduciary Duties in Financially Distressed Corporations: Chaos in Delaware (and Elsewhere)*, 32 Journal of Corporation Law 491 (2007)
 - vi. Steve H. Nickles, *Behavioral Effect of New Bankruptcy Law on Management and Lawyers: Collage of Recent Statutes and Cases Discouraging Chapter 11 Bankruptcy*, 59 Arkansas Law Review 529 (2006)
 - vii. Jonathan C. Lipson, *Directors’ Duties to Creditors: Power Imbalance and the Financially Distressed Corporation*, 50 UCLA Law Review 1189 (2003)
 - viii. ABI Roundtable Discussion, *Remember When—Recollections of a Time When Aggressive Accounting, Special Purpose Vehicles, Asset Light Companies and Executive Stock Options Were Positive Attributes*, 11 American Bankruptcy Institute Law Review 1 (2003)
 - ix. Andrew Keay, *Directors’ Duties to Creditors: Contractarian Concerns Relating to Efficiency and Over-Protection of Creditors*, 66 Modern Law Review 665 (2003)
 - x. Gregory Scott Crespi, *Rethinking Corporate Fiduciary Duties: The Inefficiency of the Shareholder Primacy Norm*, 55 SMU Law Review 141 (2002)
 - xi. J. Ronald Trost & Roger G. Schwartz, *Fiduciary Duties of Directors in the Chapter 11 and Insolvency Contexts (With a Note on the Fiduciary Duties of Counsel for the Debtor-in-Possession)*, in Chapter 11 Business Reorganizations, ALI-ABA Course of Study SE71 (February 24, 2000)
 - xii. Andrew D. Shaffer, *Corporate Fiduciary—Insolvent: The Fiduciary Relationship Your Corporate Law Professor (Should Have) Warned You About*, 8 American Bankruptcy Institute Law Review 479 (2000)
 - xiii. Marshall E. Tracht, *Insider Guaranties in Bankruptcy: A Framework for Analysis*, 54 University of Miami Law Review 497 (2000)
 - xiv. Margaret M. Blair & Lynn A. Stout, *A Team Production Theory of Corporate Law*, 85 Virginia Law Review 247 (1999) (citation to pre-publication working paper)
 - xv. Richard L. Stehl, *The Failings of the Credit Counseling and Debtor Education Requirements of the Proposed Consumer Bankruptcy Reform Legislation of 1998*, 7 American Bankruptcy Institute Law Review 133 (1999)
 - xvi. Jim Moore, *Comments from the Chair*, In-House Counsel Committee Newsletter, ABA Section of Environment Energy and Resources (March 2006)

- xvii. Jennifer Anacona Semko, *The Twilight Zone of Insolvency: How a Director's Fiduciary Duties Can Change When Times Are Tough*, Bankruptcy Litigation, American Bar Association Section of Litigation, at 1 (Fall 2005)
 - xviii. William D. Johnston, *A Trap for the Unwary: "Fiduciary" Duties of Directors to Creditors*, Atlantic Coast In-House, July 2005, at 10
 - xix. Moody's Investors Service, *Moody's Approach to Valuing Distressed Exchanges* (July 2000)
14. Royce de R. Barondes, *The Limits of Quantitative Legal Analyses: Chaos in Legal Scholarship and FDIC v. W.R. Grace & Co.*, 48 Rutgers Law Review 161–225 (1995)
 - a. Cited in:
 - i. Mathias M. Siems, *What Does Not Work in Comparing Securities Laws: A Critique on La Porta et al's Methodology*, 16 International Company and Commercial Law Review 300 (2005)
 - ii. Mathias M. Siems, *Numerical Comparative Law: Do We Need Statistical Evidence in Law in Order to Reduce Complexity?*, 13 Cardozo Journal of International and Comparative Law 521 (2005)
 - iii. James J. White, *Good Faith and the Cooperative Antagonist*, 54 S.M.U. Law Review 679 (2001)
 - iv. Stephen H. Kellert, *Extrascientific Uses of Physics: The Case of Nonlinear Dynamics and Legal Theory*, 68 Philosophy of Science S445 (2001)
 - v. Stewart G. Pollock, *The Art of Judging*, 71 N.Y.U. Law Review 591 (1996)
 15. Royce de R. Barondes, *An Economic Analysis of the Potential for Coercion in Consent Solicitations for Bonds*, 63 Fordham Law Review 749–91 (1994)
 - a. Cited in:
 - i. Louis Loss & Joel Seligman, *Securities Regulation* (3d ed. 2001)
 - ii. George W. Shuster, Jr., *The Trust Indenture Act and International Debt Restructurings*, 14 American Bankruptcy Institute Law Review 431 (2006)
 - iii. Douglas R. Cole, *E-Proxies for Sale? Corporate Vote-Buying in the Internet Age*, 76 Washington Law Review 793 (2001)
 - iv. Lee C. Buchheit & G. Mitu Gulati, *Exit Consents in Sovereign Bond Exchanges*, 48 UCLA Law Review 59 (2000)
 - v. Gerome Leone, *Worth Reading*, National Law Journal, July 24, 1995, at B10
 16. Royce de R. Barondes, *Dynamic Economic Analyses of Selected Provisions of Corporate Law: The Absolute Delegation Rule, Disclosure of Intermediate Estimates and IPO Pricing*, 7 DePaul Business Law Journal 97–142 (1994)
 - a. Cited in:
 - i. Daniel Vinish, *The Demise of Clarity in Corporate Takeover Jurisprudence: The Omnicare v. NCS Healthcare Anomaly*, 21 Saint John's Journal of Legal Commentary 311 (2006)
 - ii. Lucian Arye Bebchuk, *The Case for Increasing Shareholder Power*, 118 Harvard Law Review 833 (2005)
 - iii. Justin Trainor, *The Internet Direct Public Offering: Establishing Trust in a Disintermediated Capital Market*, 2 Canadian Journal of Law & Technology 47 (2003)
 - iv. Andres Rueda, *The Hot IPO Phenomenon and the Great Internet Bust*, 7 Fordham Journal of Corporate & Financial Law 21 (2001)
 - v. Lawrence A. Hamermesh, *Corporate Democracy and Stockholder-Adopted By-Laws: Taking Back the Street?*, 73 Tulane Law Review 409 (1998)
 17. Royce de R. Barondes, *The Bespeaks Caution Doctrine: Revisiting the Application of Federal Securities Law to Opinions and Estimates*, 19 Journal of Corporation Law 243–84 (1994)
 - a. Reprinted in 27 Securities Law Review 261-302 (1995)
 - b. Cited in:

- i. *In re Computervision Corp. Secs. Litig.*, 869 F. Supp. 56, 61 (D. Mass. 1994)
- ii. Securities and Exchange Commission, *Safe Harbor for Forward-Looking Statements*, Securities Act Release No. 33-7101, 59 Federal Register 52,723 (1994)
- iii. Louis Loss & Joel Seligman, *Securities Regulation* (3d ed. 2001)
- iv. Susanna Kim Ripken, *Predictions, Projections, and Precautions: Conveying Cautionary Warnings in Corporate Forward-Looking Statements*, 2005 University of Illinois Law Review 929 (2005)
- v. John F. Olson, Recent Developments in Disclosure and Dealing with Analysts and the Financial Press, Postgraduate Course in Federal Securities Law Volume SE10 (July 22, 1999)
- vi. John F. Olson, *Recent Developments in Disclosure and Dealing with Analysts and the Financial Press*, in Postgraduate Course in Federal Securities Law, ALI-ABA Course of Study SD11 (Aug. 20, 1998)
- vii. Robert A. Prentice, *Conceiving the Inconceivable and Judicially Implementing the Preposterous: The Premature Demise of Respondeat Superior Liability Under Section 10(b)*, 58 Ohio State Law Journal 1325 (1997)
- viii. Jennifer O'Hare, *Good Faith And The Bespeaks Caution Doctrine: It's Not Just a State of Mind*, 58 University of Pittsburgh Law Review 619 (1997)
- ix. Jean Calderon & Rachel Kowal, *Safe Harbors: Historical and Current Approaches to Future Forecasting*, 22 Journal of Corporation Law 661 (1997)
- x. Douglas M. Branson, *Running the Gauntlet: A Description of the Arduous, and Now Often Fatal, Journey For Plaintiffs in Federal Securities Law Actions*, 65 University of Cincinnati Law Review 3 (1996)
- xi. Douglas M. Branson, *Chasing the Rogue Professional After the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995*, 50 SMU Law Review 91 (1996)
- xii. Andrew M. Campbell, "Bespeaks Caution" Doctrine Under Federal Securities Laws, 130 ALR Fed. 119 (1996)
- xiii. Edmund W. Kitch, *The Theory and Practice of Securities Disclosure*, 61 Brooklyn Law Review 763 (1995)
- xiv. Joel Seligman, *The SEC's Unfinished Soft Information Revolution*, 63 Fordham Law Review 1953 (1995)
- xv. James H. Cheek, III, *Emerging Issues and Significant Developments in Securities Law*, in Postgraduate Course in Federal Securities Law, ALI-ABA Course of Study CA36 (July 27, 1995)
- xvi. Klaus Eppler, "Bespeaking Caution" in Disclosure Document, in Practising Law Institute, Corporate Law and Practice Course Handbook Series No. B4-7086 (Nov. 1995)
- xvii. Thomas Gilroy & Mary Elizabeth Pratt, *Preparing the Management's Discussion and Analysis*, in Preparation of Annual Disclosure Documents 1995, Practising Law Institute, Corporate Law and Practice Handbook Series No. B4-7079 (Jan.-Feb. 1995)
- xviii. Jonathan L. Booze, Comment, *A Comparative Analysis of the Application of the Bespeaks Caution Doctrine to Forward-Looking Statements*, 47 Kansas Law Review 495 (1999)
- xix. Jeffrey A. Brill, Note, *The Status of The Duty to Update*, 7 Cornell Journal of Law & Public Policy 605 (1998)
- xx. R. Gregory Roussel, Note, *Securities Fraud or Mere Puffery: Refinement of the Corporate Puffery Defense*, 51 Vanderbilt Law Review 1049 (1998)
- xxi. Walter C. Somol, Note, *Dredging the Safe Harbor for Forward-Looking Statements—An Analysis of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act's Safe Harbor for Forward-Looking Statements*, 32 Suffolk University Law Review 265 (1998)

- xxii. Joseph A. Franco & Laura Leedy Gansler, *Written Disclosure That Bespeaks Caution and Oral Misrepresentations by Brokers: A Cautionary Note*, Insights, Jan. 1996, at 19
- xxiii. Bruce Angiolillo, *Bespeaks Caution Doctrine: Heading for Codification?*, New York Law Journal, Jan. 5, 1995, at 5

Dated: September 2007